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Pages 17722-17723: Equations 3, 4, 6 and 8 relating to the analysis of the sequential binding equilibria are corrected below. The numerical values of the stepwise association constants and effective molarities reported in Tables 5 and 6 are corrected below. Qualitatively, the results are similar to those in the original publication, and the main conclusions are not affected. However, the overall association constant estimated for the doubly H-bonded complex in Table 6 is always larger than the value estimated for a singly H-bonded complex, even when the most populated state of the doubly H-bonded complex is the singly H -bonded species.

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\mathrm{obs}}=K_{0}\left(1+K_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
K_{\mathrm{obs}}=K_{0}\left(1+K_{1}+K_{1} K_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
E M_{1}=\frac{K_{1}}{4 K_{\mathrm{H}}}=\frac{K_{\mathrm{obs}}-K_{0}}{4 K_{0} K_{\mathrm{H}}}  \tag{6}\\
E M_{2}=\frac{8 K_{2}}{6 K_{\mathrm{H}}}=\frac{8\left(K_{\mathrm{obs}}-K_{0}-K_{0} K_{1}\right)}{6 K_{0} K_{1} K_{\mathrm{H}}} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Table 5. Effective Molarities, $E M(M)$, Sequential Equilibrium Constants for H -Bond Interactions, $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$, in the Complexes Formed Between Porphyrin 3 and Ligands 4b and 4d at $298 \mathrm{~K}^{a}$

| solvent | $3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~b}$ |  | $3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~d}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{1}$ | $E M_{1}$ |  |  |
|  | 14 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.8 |
| toluene | 3 | 0.2 | $b$ | $b$ |
| TCE | 5 | 0.7 | $b$ | $b$ |
| DCM | 1 | 0.7 | $b$ | $b$ |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 5 | 0.9 | $b$ | $b$ |
| acetone |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Errors are $E M_{1} \pm 60 \%, K_{1} \pm 40 \%, E M_{2} \pm 80 \%$ and $K_{2} \pm 60 \%$.
${ }^{b}$ The values of $K_{2}$ are within experimental error of zero, and so the second H-bond does not confer a measurable additional stability on the complex.

Table 6. Estimated Overall Association Constants for Complexes Formed Between Porphyrin $\mathbf{3}$ and Ligand 4d That Make Either One or Two H-bonds $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ and Populations of Partially Bound States Assuming $E M_{1}=E M_{2}=0.5 \mathrm{M}$

| solvent | singly H-bonded complex |  |  | doubly H-bonded complex |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{\text {est }}$ | zero H-bonds (\%) | one H-bond (\%) | $K_{\text {est }}$ | zero H-bonds (\%) | one H-bond (\%) | two H -bonds (\%) |
| toluene | $4.2 \times 10^{4}$ | 1 | 99 | $8.2 \times 10^{5}$ | 0 | 10 | 90 |
| TCE | $2.7 \times 10^{3}$ | 8 | 92 | $1.0 \times 10^{4}$ | 4 | 46 | 50 |
| DCM | $5.3 \times 10^{3}$ | 13 | 87 | $1.5 \times 10^{4}$ | 8 | 56 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{3}$ | 35 | 65 | $2.5 \times 10^{3}$ | 32 | 58 | 10 |
| acetone | $4.3 \times 10^{2}$ | 16 | 84 | $1.0 \times 10^{3}$ | 11 | 59 | 29 |
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